



# INTRODUCTION

- Hospitalized adult patients are at increased risk for adverse outcomes, particularly when undergoing invasive procedures such as indwelling urinary catheterization.
- Nurses are essential in providing high quality, cost-effective, and safe health care.
- This mixed methods pilot study identified factors associated with nurses' adoption of an evidence-based practice to reduce the duration of catheterization and potential for catheterassociated urinary tract infections in hospitalized adults.

# **BACKGROUND/SIGNIFICANCE**

- Studies show that prolonged catheter usage greatly increases a patient's risk for developing a urinary tract infection (UTI).<sup>1,2</sup>
- UTIs are among the most common healthcare-acquired infections (HAI) and nearly 80% are catheter-associated (CAUTI).<sup>3</sup>
- While national and international guidelines for the prevention of CAUTI exist, the incidence continues to climb.<sup>4</sup>
- A variety of evidence-based practices to reduce or prevent CAUTI have been explored; however, limited research exists on nurses' adoption of those practices.
- This study sought to determine how nurses might adopt a nurse-driven protocol to remove IUCs without waiting on a physician order.

# **Early Catheter Discontinuation Protocol** (Nurse-Driven Protocol)

Medical Executive Committee - approved Foley Catheter protocol that requires automatic discontinuation "stop order" of Foley catheters after forty-eight hours (48 hrs.).

The catheter will not be discontinued under this protocol without notifying the physician in the presence of the following conditions:

- 1. Urinary obstruction
- 2. Neurogenic bladder or urinary retention
- 3. Urological surgery
- 4. Open sacral wound

www.PosterPresentations.com

5. Palliative care or terminal illness

**Contact Information** Brian T. Conner, Ph.D., RN. CNE Assistant Professor of Nursing Medical University of South Carolina 99 Jonathan Lucas Street MSC 160 Charleston, SC 29425-1600 <u>connerb@musc.edu</u>

Exploring Factors Associated with **Evidence-Based Practice to Reduce** \*Brian T. Conner, Ph.D., RN, CNE, \*Teresa J. Kelechi, Ph.D., RN, ( \*Martina Mueller, Ph.D., \*Barbara J. Edlund, Ph.D., AF \*Medical University of South Card \*\*Center for Clinical Management Research, Ann

## **METHODS**

- A prospective, cluster-randomized pilot feasibility study using mixed methods and a two-group pre- post-study design featuring an intervention group on one nursing unit and a control group on a similar unit within the same hospital
- Quantitative data were collected from the RNs on both units, pre- and post intervention about their perceptions, attitudes, and knowledge related to EBP. Quantitative and qualitative data about adoption of the intervention were also collected from the RNs on the intervention unit post-intervention.
- Quantitative data were collected on the incidence and duration of urinary catheterization among patients on both units, preand post-intervention.

#### **RESEARCH QUESTIONS**

- What are nurses' perceptions of the characteristics (relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, trialability, observability) of an evidence-based, nursedriven early catheter discontinuation protocol?
- 2. Does the use of an evidence-based, nurse-driven early catheter discontinuation protocol result in a lower mean duration of catheterization compared with patients for whom the protocol is not used?



h Nurses' Adoption of an	
e Duration of Catheterization	
GCNS-BC, CWCN, FAAN, *Lynne S. Nemeth, Ph.D., RN,	
YRN, ANP-BC, ^^Sarah L. Krein, Ph.D., R.N.	
Arbor VA HSR&D Center of Excellence	

# **RESULTS**

### **Perceptions of Evidence Based Practice**

• Response rates for the EBPQ were 70% for the intervention group (n = 21) and 54% for the control group (n = 15).

• Statistically significant differences were found between groups postintervention on the total scale, practice subscale, and attitudes subscale. The knowledge subscale scores were not statistically significantly different between groups.

	Pre-intervention		Post intervention		Change scores		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	p value
vention group $(n = 21)$							-
1	118.42	17.72	127.62	18.31	11.33	23.4	0.094
tice	28.83	6.88	32.00	5.62	3.86	8.36	0.101
udes	20.71	4.60	23.33	3.92	2.43	5.83	0.047
wledge	68.88	11.94	72.29	12.73	5.05	14.26	0.359
The trought $(n = 15)$							
1	106.47	23.97	108.73	21.41	2.47	29.9	0.757
tice	22.53	8.11	24.07	8.35	1.67	7.89	0.510
udes	18.93	5.90	18.13	5.58	-0.73	5.09	0.658
wledge	65.00	14.39	66.53	11.88	1.53	18.95	0.753
l <i>p</i> value*	0.113		0.007		0.018		
ctice <i>p</i> value*	0.020		0.002		0.009		
tudes <i>p</i> value*	0.421		0.002		0.004		
wledge <i>p</i> value*	0.368		0.178		0.208		

### **Perceptions of the Nurse-Driven Protocol**

Response rate (post-intervention) for the AECDPQ was 66% (n = 20) The overall mean score for responses to the questionnaire was well above the neutral score of 4.0, indicating an overall favorable response to the intervention.

Mean scores for the relative advantage/compatibility, complexity, and observability subscales were also well above 4.0.

(n -			
Intervention group	Post-inte	ervention	One Sample t-test
	М	SD	test value = 4.0
Total	5.82	0.83	t(19) = 7.076, p = 0.000
Relative advantage	5.83	1.08	t(19) = 5.548, p = 0.000
Compatibility	6.00	0.76	t(19) = 8.861, p = 0.000
Complexity	5.73	1.63	t(19) = 3.386, p = 0.003
Observability	5.55	1.47	t(19) = 10.053, p = 0.001

## **Themes Developed from Qualitative Data**

Enthusiastic support for nurse-driven intervention Value of learning about EBP and CAUTI Importance of educational reminders Intervention increased nurses' workload

	thatar (
Inte	ervention gr
Cor	ntrol Group
Ca	theter o
6 -	
5 -	
4 -	
3 -	
2 -	
1 -	
0 -	
•	Educa
	prima
	was d
•	The re
	with p
	will in
•	The ir
	suppo
	NI .
1.	Nazar
2.	Berna
	decrea
	reduce
2	Urolog Saint S
5.	associ
	Intern
4.	Wilson the rick



### RESULTS

#### duration

	Pre-intervention			Po	p value		
	М	SD	Ν	М	SD	Ν	
Iroup	4.96	2.53	69	3.26	1.77	65	0.000
C	5.23	3.92	52	5.45	3.94	69	0.763

#### duration



## **CONCLUSIONS**

ation on the nurse-driven protocol was identified as the ry factor contributing to the nurses' enthusiastic support e intervention.

ding reminders beyond the initial educational sessions determined to be as important..

esults from this study suggest that education coupled practical application of an evidence-based intervention crease nurses' perceptions and attitudes of EBP.

mplications for practice are clear. Nursing leaders must ort educational efforts related to EBP and specifically to nterventions.

#### REFERENCES

ko L. (2008). Reducing the risk of catheter-related urinary tract on. Br J Nurs. 2008: 17(16), 1002-1010.

ard MS, Hunter KF, & Moore KN. A review of strategies to ase the duration of indwelling urethral catheters and potentially e the incidence of catheter-associated urinary tract infections gic Nursing. 2012:32(1), 29-37.

S, Meddings JA, Calfee D, Kowalski CP, Krein SL Catheteriated urinary tract infection and the Medicare rule changes. Ann Med. 2009:150 (12), 877-884.

M., Wilde M, Webb ML, et al. Nursing interventions to reduce k of catheter-associated urinary tract infection: Staff education, monitoring, and care techniques. J Wound, Ostomy Continence Nurs. 2009:36(2), 137-154.

#### Acknowledgements

Supported by grants from the Self Regional Healthcare Foundation and the South Carolina Nurses Foundation Thanks to John Paguntalan, MS, FNP-BC (Intervention Co-Investigator)