Evaluation of Selected Components of the Nurse Work Life Model Using 2011 NDNQI RN Survey Data

Nancy Ballard, MSN, RN, NEA-BC Marge Bott, PhD, RN Diane Boyle, PhD, RN



Objectives

Identify the relationship of components of the practice environment using Laschinger's Nurse Worklife Model as a guiding framework.



Practice Environment

- Predicted Nursing Shortage¹
 - **285,000 by 2020**
- NQF, CMS, & AHRQ recognize importance^{2,3,4}
 - Patient Outcomes
 - Nurse Perceived Quality of Care
 - Nurse Satisfaction

³Needleman, Buerhaus, Mattke, Stewart, & Zelevinsky, 2002;





¹The Joint Commission, 2010

²Aikin, Clarke, Sloane, Sochalski, & Silber,2002;

Measurement of the Practice Environment

- Valid and reliable tools:
 - Practice Environment Scale (Lake, 2002)
 - Conditions of Work Effectiveness
 Quesionnaire-II (Lachinger, et al., 2001)
 - Essentials of Magnetism-II (Kramer & Schmalenberg, 2008)



Nurse Worklife Model

See Reference below for the model figure

Figure 1. From" Relationships of Work and Practice Environment to Professional Burnout: Testing a Causal Model" by M. Leiter and H. Laschinger, 2006, *Nursing Research*, p 139. Copyright 2006 by Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Reprinted with permission



The Question is . . .

Do the components of the practice environment interact at the unit level as predicted using the Nurse Worklife Model framework?



Nurse Worklife Model Literature Review

- Tested a causal model using SEM (Leiter & Laschinger, 2006)
- Extended NWLM to include nurse-reported adverse outcomes (Laschinger & Leiter, 2006)
- Evaluated the impact:
 - Structural empowerment on job satisfaction (Manojlovich & Lachinger, 2007)
 - Perceived quality on job satisfaction (Laschinger, 2008)



Job Satisfaction Literature Review

- Meta-analysis from 1/2004 through 3/2009 at individual nurse level (Hayes, Bonner & Pryor, 2010)
 - 17 studies 44 important factors
 - Nurse manager important to structural factors
 - Work-related factors: autonomy, coworker interactions, patient activities



Job Satisfaction Literature Review (cont'd)

 Unit level study: Unit type influence on job satisfaction (Boyle, Miller, Gajewsky, Hart & Dunton, 2006)

 Evaluation of healthy work environment: 717 units in 34 Magnet hospitals (Kramer, Maguire, & Brewer, 2011)



Research Question 1

At the unit level, does RN-MD collaboration (PES-Collegial RN-MD Relations) and involvement in policy development (PES-Participation in Hospital Affairs) mediate the relationship between strong leadership (PES-Nurse Manager Ability) and a nursing model of care (PES-Nursing Foundations for Quality Care)?



Research Question 2

At the unit level does RN-MD collaboration (PES-Collegial RN-MD Relations), involvement in policy (PES-Participation in Hospital Affairs) and a nursing model of care (PES-Nursing Foundations for Quality of Care) mediate the relationship between strong leadership (PES-Nurse Manager Ability) and staffing adequacy (PES-Staffing and Resource Adequacy)?



Research Question 3

At the unit level, does RN-MD collaboration (PES-Collegial RN-MD Relations), involvement in policy development (PES-Participation in Hospital Affairs), staffing adequacy (PES-Staffing & Resource Adequacy), and a nursing model of care (PES-Nursing Foundations for Quality of Care) mediate the relationship between strong leadership (Nurse Manager Ability) and RN personal accomplishment (Job Enjoyment Scale)?

Methods

- Secondary analysis of 2011 unit level RN data
- Correlational Path Analysis testing for the mediators of Job Satisfaction using the NLWM framework.
- Hierarchical Regression used to identify the variation in job enjoyment using the PES subscales to represent components of the NLWM

Setting and Sample

N = 322,457 RNs in 3,583 Units

(medical, surgical, medical-surgical, stepdown, & critical

Demographic*	Mean (SD)	Range	Percentage		
Age	39.3(4.87)	25-75	N/A		
Certification	N1/A	0.400	46.7		
Certification	N/A	0-100	16.7		
BSN or Higher	N/A	0-100	55.4		
Female	N/A	0-100	91.0		
White	N/A	0-100	69.8		
*Demographics are aggregated to the unit level					

Hospital Demographics

	N (%)		N	(%)
Bedsize		Hospital Ownership		
<100	239 (6.7)	Not for Profit	2,979 (83.1)
100-199	697 (19.5)	Government Fed.	53	(1.5)
200-299	832 (23.2)	Government Non-Fed.	334	(9.3)
300-399	673 (18.8)	For Profit-Investor Owned	217	(6.1)
400-499	476 (13.3)			
500+	666 (18.6)			
Total	3,583 (100)		3,583 (100)

PES Subscale Definitions

Subscale	Definition (NWLM Component)	Items	Cronbach's Alpha
Collegial RN-MD Relations	Presence of collaborative working relationship (RN-MD Collaboration)	3	.87
Nurse Participation in Hospital Affairs	Policy development and decisions about practice (Policy Involvement)	9	.90
Staffing and resource adequacy	Staffing level is adequate to provide the care needed (Staffing Adequacy)	4	.88
Nurse manager ability, leadership, and support	Nursing manger viewed as a leader who provides strong support (Strong Leadership)	5	.90
Nursing Foundations for Quality of Care	Nursing practice is supported by high standards, professional nursing philosophy, education, expectation of competency, and measurement of quality (Nursing Model of Care)	10	.88
Job Enjoyment	Measurement of job satisfaction (Personal Accomplishment)	7	.92

Results

	Dependent Variable					
	Model 1: Foundations for Nursing Quality Care		Model 2: Staffing Resource Adequacy		Model 3: Job Enjoyment Scale	
Subscale	Step 1	Step 2	Step 1	Step 2	Step 1	Step 2
Practice Environment Scale (PES)	β	β	β	β	β	β
Nurse Manager Ability	.74**	.18**	.67**	.21**	.75**	.24**
RN-MD Collegiality		.19**		.08**		.10**
Participation in Hospital Affairs		.63**		.24**		.06**
Nursing Foundations for Quality Care				.32**		.06**
Staffing Resource Adequacy						.56**
R ²	.54	.24	.44	.14	.56	.23
Adj. R ²	.56	.80	.47	.61	.57	.80
SE of Estimate	.14	.10	.28	.24	5.99	4.06
F	4,360**	2,102**	2,937**	434**	4,653**	1,055**

Unit Level Findings

- NLWM supported:
 - Partial mediation of Strong leadership (Nurse Manager Ability) effect on Nursing Model of Care (Nursing Foundations of Quality) and Staffing Adequacy (Staffing & Resource Adequacy)
 - Direct effect of Strong Leadership (Nurse Manager Ability) on Personal Accomplishment (Job Enjoyment)
- 80% of variance in Job Enjoyment explained by the subscales in the model.



Findings

- 3 components of PES significant predictors of Job Enjoyment
 - Staffing and Resource Adequacy
 - Nurse Manager Ability
 - RN-MD Collaboration



Strengths

- Large national sample
- Standardized definitions
- Standardized data collection process

Limitations

- Selection Bias:
 - NDNQI higher percentage of Magnet facilities
 - Hospitals under 100 beds underrepresented



Recommendations

- Based on findings from both Kramer and Boyle:
 - Measurement of the practice environment and job satisfaction at the unit level are important to understand the complexities within the work setting.
- Further study at the unit level needed in other areas of practice to evaluate the NWLM.



Acknowledgements

University of Kansas Medical Center
School of Nursing
WellStar Health System
Kennesaw State University

